EXHIBIT E

BLANK ROME, LLP Attorneys for Defendant MILAN NIGERIA LTD. Thomas H. Belknap, Jr. (TB-3188) The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Ave. New York, NY 10174-0208 (212) 885-5000

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CRUISER SHIPPING PTE LTD. and UNIVERSAL NAVIGATION PTE LTD.,

Plaintiffs

-against-

SUNDERSONS LTD., MILAN NIGERIA LTD., SIMRAN MEHER LTD. and VALECHHA HOLDINGS LIMITED,

Defendants

07 CV 4036 (JGK)

ANSWER TO SECOND
AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WITH COUNTERCLAIM

Defendant, MILAN NIGERIA LTD., (hereinafter "Milan"), by its attorneys Blank Rome LLP, as and for its Answer to the Plaintiff's Second Amended Verified Complaint (the "Complaint"), alleges as follows:

- 1. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- 2. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
- 3. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

4. Admits that Defendant Sundersons Ltd. is a foreign corporation with its principal business address at Plot G2, Moushud Abiola Way, Iganmu, Surnlere, Lagos, Nigeria but except as admitted denies the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

- 5. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
- 6. Admits that Defendant Simran Meher Limited is a foreign corporation with its principal business address at 1604 Damas Towers, Al Makhtoun Street, Diera, Dubai, United Arab Emirates but except as admitted, denies the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. Admits that Defendant Valechha Holdings Limited is a foreign corporation with its principal place of business at Suites 7B & 8B, 50 Town Range, Gibraltic, but except as admitted, denies the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. Admits that by contracts of affreighment evidenced by bills of lading Nos. C01 through C20, dated at Kakinada, India 9/9/06, Milan contracted with Plaintiffs to carry about 23,000 metric tons of bagged rice comprising of 21,000 metric tons of 50 kilogram bags and 2,000 metric tons of 25 kilogram bags from Kakinada, India to Lagos and Port Harcourt, Nigeria, but except as admitted, denies the allegations in paragraph 8.
- 9. Admits that Milan was the receiver and/or consignee of the cargo and that Plaintiffs' claims against Milan are subject to arbitration in London pursuant to the above-referenced bills of lading, but except as admitted, denies the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- 10. Admits that Clause 50 of the Charter between Universal Navigation and Sundersons Nigeria Ltd. contains the arbitration clause referenced at paragraph 10 of the Complaint and that Plaintiffs' claims against Sundersons are subject to arbitration in London

pursuant to the Charter but except as admitted, denies the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.

- 11. Given that the Plaintiffs and Milan both agree that Plaintiffs' claims herein are subject to arbitration in London, Milan submits that it is unnecessary for it to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 11 through 20 of the Complaint in this action; however, to the extent an Answer is required these allegations are denied.
 - 12. Denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 21 through 26 of the Complaint.
- 13. Paragraph 27 of the Complaint does not call for an admission or denial; however, Milan admits that Plaintiffs' claims against it in this action are subject to arbitration in London and further admits that Plaintiffs have commenced arbitration against Milan and Sundersons Limited in London in respect of their claims herein; otherwise the allegations contained in paragraph 27 are denied.
- 14. Paragraph 28 of the Complaint does not call for an admission or denial; however, Milan admits that Plaintiffs' claims against it in this action are subject to arbitration in London and otherwise denies the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Complaint.
- 15. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the Complaint.
 - 16. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the Complaint.
- 17. Admits that it cannot be found within the jurisdiction of this Court and is not subject to the *in personam* jurisdiction of this Court but except as admitted, denies the allegations contained in paragraph 31 (erroneously numbered 23) of the Complaint.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. This Court lacks in personam jurisdiction over Milan.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

19. Plaintiffs have failed to effect proper service of process upon Milan.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20. Plaintiffs' claims are subject to arbitration in London pursuant to the governing contracts and Plaintiffs' claims in this action should be stayed pending arbitration in London.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21. Milan is not the *alter ego* of any of the other Defendants in this action and Plaintiffs' attempts to pierce the corporate veil of Milan should be denied.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

22. Plaintiff's complaint fails to plead its *alter ego* allegations with sufficient specificity or particularity, and plaintiffs' *alter ego*/veil piercing claims and causes of action should be dismissed.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

23. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim for which relief can be granted.

COUNTERCLAIM

Defendant Milan hereby conditionally asserts the following counterclaim against Plaintiffs. The counterclaim, as with Plaintiffs' claims asserted in their complaint, is subject to London arbitration pursuant to the governing contract of carriage referenced above, and this counterclaim is asserted herein solely for the purposes of obtaining counter-security pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Supp. Adm. R. E(7)(a). This counterclaim is strictly subject to and without waiver of Defendant Milan's affirmative defenses listed above, including but not limited to lack of jurisdiction and that the disputes between Plaintiffs and Defendant are subject to arbitration in London.

24. The preceding paragraphs are repeated and incorporated herein as if set forth in full.

- 25. On or about November 2, 2007, Milan filed a counterclaim in the above-referenced arbitration commenced in respect of this matter by Plaintiffs. A copy of the counterclaim as filed in the London arbitration is appended hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 26. Milan is presently preparing to submit an amended counterclaim in the London arbitration, a copy of which is appended hereto as Exhibit "B". The counterclaim and proposed amended counterclaim are fully incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full herein.
- 27. In sum, Milan seeks a net award in its favor of \$94,907.16 plus interest, fees and costs.
- 28. Rule E(7)(a) of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims provides as follows:

When a person who has given security for damages in the original action asserts a counterclaim that arises from the same transaction or occurrence that is the subject of the original action, a plaintiff for whose benefit the security has been given <u>must</u> give security for damages demanded in the counterclaim unless the Court, for cause shown, directs otherwise. Proceedings on the original claim must be stayed until this security is given, unless the Court directs otherwise.

- 29. In the present case, Plaintiffs have asserted claims against Milan totaling \$417,614.40 and have attached funds of Milan in this district at Standard Chartered Bank in the full amount of this claim.
- 30. Milan has asserted a counterclaim in the London arbitration and herein which arises from the same transaction or occurrence, the principal amount of which totals \$94,907.16.
- 31. If successful, the London arbitrators will also award Milan interest, attorneys' fees and arbitrators' fees in connection with the defense of Plaintiffs' claim and the pursuit of

Document 11

Filed 11/15/2007

Page 6 of 6

Milan's counterclaim. Specifically, Milan estimates interest, calculated at 6% per annum, compounded quarterly for three years, would be \$18,565.57. Milan further estimates it would be awarded costs, including arbitrators' fees and attorneys' fees, of \$45,000.

32. Based on the foregoing, Milan is entitled to counter-security of \$158,472.73 in respect of its counterclaim.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Milan prays:

- A. That Plaintiffs' claims be denied in full;
- B. That this action be stayed pending arbitration of the disputes in London;
- C. That the Order of Attachment obtained by Plaintiffs in this action be vacated in its entirety; and/or
 - D. That Milan's counterclaim and request for counter-security be granted in full.

Dated: New York, NY November 15, 2007

> Respectfully submitted, BLANK ROME, LLP Attorneys for Defendant MILAN NIGERIA LTD.

405 Lexington Ave.

New York, NY 10174-0208

(212) 885-5000

EXHIBIT A

Document 11-2

Filed 11/15/2007

Page 2 of 6

COUNTER- CLAIM.

In the event that the Tribunal finds in favour of the Claimants and holds that the Settlement Agreement dated 14/12/06 is void for reason of duress or any reason whatsoever, Respondents have a cargo claim in respect of the voyage and plead as follows:

IN THE MATTER OF THE ARBITRATION ACT, 1996

<u>AND</u>

IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION

BETWEEN

MILAN NIGERIA LIMITED

CLAIMANTS

AND

CRUISER SHIPPING PTE LIMITED

RESPONDENTS

M.V. "CRUISER"- C/P DATED 04/08/06.

CLAIM SUBMISSIONS.

BACKGROUND.

- Claimants are a Nigerian registered company with their offices at 52A Kofo Abayomi Street, Victoria Island, Lagos Nigeria.
- 2. At all material times, the Respondents were the Owners of the MV "Cruiser", the Vessel employed in the carriage of Claimants' cargo of bagged rice from Kakinada, India to Lagos and Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
- 3. By Contracts of Affreightment evidenced by Bills of Lading Numbers

Case 1:07-cv-04036-JGK Document 11-2 Filed 11/15/2007 Page 3 of 6

C01, C02, C05, C06, C09, C10, C13, C14, C15 and C16 dated at Kakinada, India 09/09/06, Claimants contracted with Respondents to carry about 23,000 Metric Tonnes of Bagged Rice comprising of 21,000 Metric Tonnes of 50Kg bags and 2,000 Metric Tonnes of 25Kg bags from Kakinada, India to Lagos and Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Attached and marked AB - AB9 are the Bills of Lading aforesaid.

4. The Respondents received into their custody in apparent good order and condition, all of the Claimants' cargo of 23,000 Metric Tonnes of Rice for carriage and safe delivery to the Claimants in like good order and condition as at when received on board and the Bills were marked clean on board.

DISCHARGE AT LAGOS.

- 5. The Vessel arrived Lagos on 19/10/06 and commenced discharge of her cargo on 24/10/06.
- 6. On completion of discharge operation on 04/11/06, a total of 1861 bags were discovered caked.
- 7. The Tribunal is referred to Claimants' Survey Report at Lagos which is attached and marked **AB10**.

DISCHARGE AT PORT HARCOURT.

- 8. The Vessel commenced discharge at Port Harcourt on 17/11/06 through to 05/12/06.
- 9. On completion of discharge, a total of 1,139 bags were discovered caked.
- 10. A total number of 3,703 bags (185.15 Metric Tonnes) were short landed at Port Harcourt.
- 11. The Tribunal is referred to the Claimants' Survey Report at Port Harcourt, which is attached and marked **AB11**.

Document 11-2

Filed 11/15/2007

Page 4 of 6

RESPONDENTS' OBLIGATION.

12. The contract contained in or evidenced by the Bills of Lading incorporated and/or was subject to the Hague and/or the Hague Visby Rules which provide inter alia as follows:

"ART III

- 1. The carrier shall be bound before and at the beginning of the voyage to exercise due diligence to:
 - a. Make the ship sea worthy
 - b. Make the ship cargo worthy
 - c. Make the holds, refrigerating and cool chambers, and all other parts of the ship in which the goods are carried, fit and safe for their reception and preservation.
- Subject to the provisions of Art IV, the carrier shall properly and carefully load, handle, stow, carry, keep, care for and discharge the goods carried."

PARTICULARS OF BREACH.

- 13. It is the Claimants' position that the fact that the cargo was short landed and discharged partly in a damaged state is evidence of Respondents' breach of their Clause Paramount obligation.
- 14. It is also the Claimants' case that had the Vessel been put to sea in a sea worthy/cargo worthy condition in all respects required by law and contract, the damage and/or shortage would not have occurred.

PARTICULARS OF CLAIM.

Caked Bags.

15. A total number of **3,000** caked bags was recorded at Lagos and Port Harcourt.

- a. The caked bags were sold at salvage value of N 2,950.00. Attached and marked AB12 is a copy of the Sale Invoice.
- b. The sound market value of a bag of rice at the time was N4950.00. Attached and marked AB13 is a copy of the invoice for sale at sound market value.
- c. Of the 3,000 caked bags, Claimants could only sell 2,000 at salvage value bringing about a loss of N4,000,000.00.
- d. The remaining 1,000 caked bags were a constructive total loss resulting in a loss of N4,950,000.00.
- e. The Claimants' total loss on account of the caked bags is therefore N8,950,000.00.

Shortage.

- 16. A total of 3,703 bags were short landed.
 - a. The sound market value for a bag of rice at the time was N4,950.00. The Tribunal is referred to AB13.
 - b. $3,703 \times N4,950.00 = N18,329,850.00$.
- 17. Claimants' total loss at Lagos and Port Harcourt is N27,279,850.00.
- 18. Total loss at the rate of N125 to 1USD is USD218,238.80.
- 19. The Claimants' claim the sum of USD218,238,80 (Two Hundred and Eighteen Thousand, Two Hundred and Thirty-eight United States Dollars, Eighty Cents) being damages for breach of Contracts of Affreightment, and/or duty and/or negligence by the Respondents, their servants or agents in or about the storage, care, ventilation, carriage, custody and discharge of the Claimants' cargo of Bagged Rice on board the Vessel, MV. "Cruiser" under the Contracts of Affreightment evidenced by the Bills of Lading here before stated.
- 20. The Claimants also claim interests and costs as appropriate/applicable.

Dated this 2nd day of November 2007.

A. B. SULU-GAMBARI & CO. CLAIMANTS' SOLICITORS MANDILAS HOUSE, 7TH FLOOR 35, SIMPSON STREET LAGOS-NIGERIA.

EXHIBIT B

Document 11-3

Filed 11/15/2007

Page 2 of Amdu

COUNTER- CLAIM.

In the event that the Tribunal finds in favour of the Claimants and holds that the Settlement Agreement dated 14/12/06 is void for reason of duress or any reason whatsoever, Respondents have a cargo claim in respect of the voyage and plead as follows:

IN THE MATTER OF THE ARBITRATION ACT, 1996

<u>AND</u>

IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION

BETWEEN

MILAN NIGERIA LIMITED

CLAIMANTS

AND

CRUISER SHIPPING PTE LIMITED UNIVERSAL NAVIGATION PTE LIMITED

RESPONDENTS

M.V. "CRUISER"- C/P DATED 04/08/06.

CLAIM SUBMISSIONS.

BACKGROUND.

- 1. Claimants are a Nigerian registered company with their offices at 52A Kofo Abayomi Street, Victoria Island, Lagos Nigeria.
- 2. At all material times, the Respondents were the Owners of the MV "Cruiser", the Vessel employed in the carriage of Claimants' cargo of bagged rice from Kakinada, India to Lagos and Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

- 3. By Contracts of Affreightment evidenced by Bills of Lading Numbers C01, C02, C05, C06, C09, C10, C13, C14, C15 and C16 dated at Kakinada, India 09/09/06, Claimants contracted with Respondents to carry about 23,000 Metric Tonnes of Bagged Rice comprising of 21,000 Metric Tonnes of 50Kg bags and 2,000 Metric Tonnes of 25Kg bags from Kakinada, India to Lagos and Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Attached and marked AB AB9 are the Bills of Lading aforesaid.
- 4. The Respondents received into their custody in apparent good order and condition, all of the Claimants' cargo of 23,000 Metric Tonnes of Rice for carriage and safe delivery to the Claimants in like good order and condition as at when received on board and the Bills were marked clean on board.

DISCHARGE AT LAGOS.

- 5. The Vessel arrived Lagos on 19/10/06 and commenced discharge of her cargo on 24/10/06.
- 6. On completion of discharge operation on 04/11/06, a total of 1861 bags were discovered caked.
- 7. The Tribunal is referred to Claimants' Survey Report at Lagos which is attached and marked **AB10**.

DISCHARGE AT PORT HARCOURT.

- 8. The Vessel commenced discharge at Port Harcourt on 17/11/06 through to 05/12/06.
- 9. On completion of discharge, a total of 1,139 bags were discovered caked.
- 10. A total number of **3,703** bags (**185.15 Metric Tonnes**) were short landed at Port Harcourt.
- 11. The Tribunal is referred to the Claimants' Survey Report at Port Harcourt, which is attached and marked **AB11**.

RESPONDENTS' OBLIGATION.

12. The contract contained in or evidenced by the Bills of Lading Incorporated and/or was subject to the Hague and/or the Hague Visby Rules which provide inter alia as follows:

"ART III

- 1. The carrier shall be bound before and at the beginning of the voyage to exercise due diligence to:
 - a. Make the ship sea worthy
 - b. Make the ship cargo worthy
 - c. Make the holds, refrigerating and cool chambers, and all other parts of the ship in which the goods are carried, fit and safe for their reception and preservation.
- Subject to the provisions of Art IV, the carrier shall properly and carefully load, handle, stow, carry, keep, care for and discharge the goods carried."

PARTICULARS OF BREACH.

- 13. It is the Claimants' position that the fact that the cargo was short landed and discharged partly in a damaged state is evidence of Respondents' breach of their Clause Paramount obligation.
- 14. It is also the Claimants' case that had the Vessel been put to sea in a sea worthy/cargo worthy condition in all respects required by law and contract, the damage and/or shortage would not have occurred.

PARTICULARS OF CLAIM.

Caked Baas.

15. A total number of **3,000** caked bags was recorded at Lagos and Port Harcourt.

- The caked bags were sold at salvage value of N 2,000.00.
 Attached and marked AB12 is a copy of the Sale Invoice.
- b. The sound market value of a bag of rice at the time was N4950.00. Attached and marked AB13 is a copy of the Invoice for sale at sound market value.
- c. Of the **3,000** caked bags, Claimants could only sell **2,000** at salvage value bringing about a loss of **N5,900,000.00**.
- d. The remaining 1,000 caked bags were a constructive total loss resulting in a loss of N4,950,000.00.
- e. The Claimants' total loss on account of the caked bags is therefore N10,850,000.00.

Shortage.

- 16. A total of **3,703** bags were short landed. 107 Bags were Wet & Lost.
 - a. The sound market value for a bag of rice at the time was N4.950.00. The Tribunal is referred to AB13.
 - b. $3,810 \times N4,950.00 = N 18,859,500.00$.
- 17. Claimants' total loss at Lagos and Port Harcourt is N29,709,500.00.
- 18. Total loss at the rate of N120 to 1USD is USD247,579.16.
- 19. The Claimants also acknowledge the fact that **USD 70,000.00** (Seventy Thousand United States Dollars only) was paid by the Defendants at the time of settlement, which together with the demurrage at load and discharge ports has to be refunded to Defendants.

Principal Claim:
Less:
USD 247,579.16
USD 70,000.00
USD 53,022.00
USD 29.650.00

Claim Amount: USD 94.907.16

19. The Claimants' claim the sum of USD94,907.16 (Ninety-four Thousand, Nine Hundred and Seven United States Dollars and Sixteen Cents) being damages for breach of Contracts of Affreightment, and/or duty and/or negligence by the Respondents, their servants or agents in or about the storage, care, ventilation, carriage, custody and discharge of the Claimants' cargo of Bagged Rice on board the Vessel, MV. "Cruiser" under the Contracts of Affreightment evidenced by the Bills of Lading here before stated.

20. The Claimants also claim interests and costs as appropriate/applicable.

Dated this day of 2007.

A. B. SULU-GAMBARI & CO. CLAIMANTS' SOLICITORS MANDILAS HOUSE, 7TH FLOOR 35, SIMPSON STREET LAGOS-NIGERIA